

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

り

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,736	.09/19/2005	Per-Ola Vallebrant	2802-521-003 US	4780	
24045 PARKER_HAR	7590 04/09/2007 NNIFIN CORPORATION	EXAMINER			
HUNTER MO	LNAR BAKER MORGAN	SELF, SHELLEY M			
	AND BOULEVARD , OH 44124-4141		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3725		
· · · · · ·					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	04/09/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	olication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/5	649,736	VALLEBRANT E	VALLEBRANT ET AL.			
		Exa	niner	Art Unit				
			ley Self	3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	nication appears (on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nasions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE C s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. tatutory period will apply will by statute, cause to	OF THIS COMMUINTO THIS COMMUINTO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this (ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 Septem	ber 2005.					
'—	•	2b)⊠ This action						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	·					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
,—	The drawing(s) filed on 19 Septemb) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	ot(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent App								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The Abstract is objected to for the use of legal phraseology, "consists"; additionally, the use of the terms, "the present inventions relates to..." is not clear and concise. Clarification is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned

Art Unit: 3725

with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-10 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/549729 and claims 1-10 of copending Application No. 10/549728. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are drawn to the same scope and subject matter, i.e., the co-pending applications have a scope drawn to an arrangement for controlling a drive unit/motor including flow/control valves.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are unclear and render a clear understanding of the claimed invention highly difficult. For example, regarding claim 1, line 2, "one of which", it is not clear what this phrase is referring to, i.e., one of the drive units or one of an arrangement? Regarding the claims, the recitation, "on the one hand...on the other hand", is not clear. Further regarding claim 1, the recitation, "to/from" is not clear, is it to and from or is it to or from? Clarification is required

:Art Unit: 3725

Examiner further notes the transitional phrase, "consists of" to define claim 1 as a closed claim. Therefore, the addition of structure via dependent claims, i.e., motor shaft, separate ducts (58, 59), double-acting piston cylinder, single-acting piston cylinder, sawing unit, saw chain, saw guide plate is not allowed within a closed claim structure. Examine suggests, --comprising or consisting essentially of--.

The claims lack clear and positive recitation of all critical interrelationships between the elements. It is not clear what or how the second drive unit, "influences the loading of the motor", influences how? Clarification is required.

Examiner notes the above; listing of 35 U.S.C, 112 2nd paragraph rejections is not conclusive. Applicant is required to review all of the claims for clarity and definiteness.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Timperi et al. (6,041,683). With regard to claims 1-3 and 6-10, Timperi discloses an arrangement for controlling two drive units one drive unit consists of a hydraulically driven motor (M) forming part of a hydraulic system (fig. 1) valves (3, 4), flow control valve (4) a second drive unit (LS) wherein the second drive unit is a hydraulic piston-cylinder, a sawing unit including a guide bar and saw chain (fig. 1).

Art Unit: 3725

Additionally, claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson (4,22,258). With regard to claims 1-3 and 6-10, Johnson discloses an arrangement (figs. 4, 5) for controlling two drive units one drive unit consists of a hydraulically driven motor (120) forming part of a hydraulic system (figs. 3. 4) valves (figs. 3. 4), flow control valve (figs. 3. 4) a second drive unit (88, 94) wherein the second drive unit is a hydraulic piston-cylinder, a sawing unit including a guide bar and saw chain (22).

Also, claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCallum (2,795,933). With regard to claims 1-3 and 6-10, McCallum discloses an arrangement (fig. 1) for controlling two drive units one drive unit consists of a hydraulically driven motor (27) forming part of a hydraulic system (fig. 1) valves (fig. 1), flow control valve (fig. 1) a second drive unit (18, 32) wherein the second drive unit is a hydraulic piston-cylinder, a sawing unit including a guide bar and saw chain (24).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is 571-272-4524. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,736 Page 6

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SHELLEY M SELF PRIMARY EXAMINER

April 2, 2007